ENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
(Murai)

File No. 163.

Serial Nos. 1—13.

Papers Filed.

Month September 1907.

SUBJECT.

Prayer for the revival of the l
Cash allowance of 400 Pagodas to
Sri Sivaganga matt, Nelamangal
Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Mur 641-08
141-09
102-09-26

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT

In re revival of the lapsed Cash allowance of 400 Pagodas to the Sri Sivaganga Matt, Nelamangala Taluk

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

No. 93 Dated 28th Feb: 1905

Slip A. The Sri Sivaganga matt in the Nelamangala Taluk is an important Muzrai institution endowed with cash & land grants, now under the management of Govt. A scale of expenditure &c to be incurred therein has been recently prescribed by Govt. (A printed copy of the Govt. order is put up)

Slip B. From a Sanad dated 7th August 1818 granted by His Highness the late Mahrajah Sri Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur III, it appears that the matt was originally in the enjoyment of a cash allowance of 400 Varahas per annum for Services in the matt & for Santarpane to Brahmins,

The Swamigalavaru of the matt has sent
a Sreemukha dt 22nd January 1905 enclosing
the original Sanad of 1818, and represents that
when His late Highness Maharaja Krishna Raj Wadiyar III visited Sivasamudra,
the then Swamigalu of the matt represented
that the cash grant of 400 Varahas, formerly endowed
to the institution had been resumed and
that thereupon, the Maharaja was graciously
pleased to revive the grant, issuing the
Sanad above referred to. This grant, the
present Swamigalavaru, states, appears to have
the Inam Collector began reduced to 30 Varahas,
the remainder, Viz, 270 Varahas being treated
as Jodi. While it is but proper to levy
Jodi on land inams, he doubts the justification
of a similar levy on Cash inams. He requests
that the cash grant of 400 Varahas might be
graciously revived for the benefit of the
matt which now deserves it

In the original Sanad there are 3 lines
in the autograph of His late Highness, repeating
the grant of 400 Varahas per annum.

On the above, enquiry was made

Ship C

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

of the Inam Superintendent as to whether the grant in question was brought up for enquiry at the Settlement of 20, how it was then adjudged In reply, that Officer states that there is nothing in the Inam Office Records beyond the fact that the claim was made at the Inam Settlement in 1876 but left unsettled. He however, states that further enquiry will be made if directed.

Submitted may be submitted a draft requesting the Inam Supdt to complete the enquiry and then submit a detailed report-

Ks 2/2/05

Ans. Please request the Inam Supdt how and why the matter was left "unsettled" at the Inam Settlement say also that all needful enquiries may be readily made, and the result communicated to this Office together with all the papers relating to the matter.

H. 3/2/05
Chief Secretary

Draft submitted
H 6/2/05

P. T. O

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued :—

In reply to the enquiry made of the Inam Superintendent as directed on page 3 of this note, that Officer reports that beyond the original Samad granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishna Raja Wodiyar Bahadur (1), no further records are available in the Taluk & that it cannot now be said why & how the cash grant was kept unsettled. From the authenticated copy of the 'Nagad Kavaryana Account' produced by the Mutt from the Vernacular Records, it appears that a sum of 400 Pagodas was paid in the year Rakshasanya (1878-79). He says that if a settlement is directed, the Samad might be returned to him. He doubts as to whether payment was being made at the time of the settlement & whether that there is no evidence of its having been resumed.

Hi no 62 of 30th Oct 1905 Paper under disposal

Submitted 24/9.11.05

MGCPB—3.500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued :—

In reply to further enquiry made of the Swamigalu of the matt, he writes today that the 30 Varahas now enjoyed by the matt is quite distinct from the 400 Varahas now claimed under the authority of the Sanad under reference. The 30 Varahas in question is stated to have been given to the matt in lieu of the Koothu village in the Magadi Taluk. Anent this Koothu village he invites attention to No 288 of 4th Sept. 1835 from the Dy. Commr to the late Bhyravanadeva, now Magadi Taluk, which reference it is stated, was subt. to the Inam Superin. to the matt. The Swamigalu further states that the statement given by the matt people during the Inam

b

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

appears to him to have been made without referring to the matter records & requests therefore that the 400 ~~as~~ varahas may be provisionally ordered to be continued to the matt

Submitted for orders
4/3/06. 4/3/06

The Inam Deptt having left the case "to be settled" it seems necessary that that Deptt should take up the case now and adjudicate upon it in the usual manner. A draft is submd for approval.

Subd to the Dewan through the 2nd Cr } 6/3/06

199
7.3.06.

Draft may be approved. 8/3/06

11733/9.3.06

Put up another draft as per orders recorded by the Dewan on the draft already subd 12/3/06

8/3/06

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

Note continued :—

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements who was requested to settle the Cash grant in question, has done so and has forwarded a copy of the Inam Regr. relating the Settl.

Col. Grant observes that there is proof of the allowance of 200 Pagodas having been paid to the Matt in one year only - viz 1818 & that it was not registered in any Tasdik Patti, nor enjoyed by the Matt and entered in the Auditors' list. He says that there is no proof of the allowance having been authoritatively resumed but that equally there is no proof that it was being enjoyed. He settles that the validity of the Grant is not admitted.

Rebtd 16/2/07

8

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

The result of the settlement may be communicated to the Swamigalu and to the D.C. Comr, Bangalore &c

Sd
Subd to the 24/7/07

Sd/- Chandrasekhara
23/7/07

5-6-
23.7.07. Approved
BMR
23/7/07

Draft, accepted
and a Kannada
letter to the Swamigalu
24/7/07 CK

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

సంఖ్య

Rect. 23/1/65.
Fund out what
became of the cash grant
of two pagodas kept by.
23/1/65

శ్రీమత్పరమహంస పరివ్రాజకాచార్యస్య పదవాక్యప్రమాణ పారావారపారీణయమనియమా
సన ప్రాణాయామ ప్రత్యాహార ధ్యానధారణా సమాధ్యష్టాంగ యోగానుష్ఠాన నిష్ఠాగరిష్ఠతపశ్చక్ర వర్త్యా
నాద్య విచ్ఛిన్న గురుపరంపరాప్రాప్త షడ్దర్శన స్థాపనాచార్యవ్యాఖ్యానసింహాసనాధీశ్వర సకలవేదార్థ
ప్రకాశక సాంఖ్యత్రయీ ప్రతిపాలక సకలనిగమాగమ సారహృదయవైదికమార్గ ప్రవర్తక సర్వతంత్ర
స్వతంత్రాది రాజధానీ శ్రీమద్విద్యానగర మహారాజధానీ కర్ణాటక సింహాసన ప్రతిష్ఠాపనాచార్య శ్రీమ
దాద్యజాధి రాజగురుభూమండలాచార్య తుంగభద్రాతీరవాస ఋష్యశృంగ పురవరాధీశ్వర శ్రీశృంగేరి శ్రీమ
ద్విద్యా శంకర పాదపద్మారాధక శ్రీశృంగేరి శ్రీశివగంగా శ్రీగంగాధరభారతీ స్వామినాం కరకమలసంజాత
శ్రీశృంగేరి శ్రీశివగంగా శ్రీసుబ్రహ్మణ్యాభినవసచ్చిదానంద భారతీస్వామిభిః—

అస్మదత్యంత ప్రియముఖ్య శిష్యతైన [illegible]

విషయేశ్రీనారాయణ స్మరణపూర్వక విరచితాశీః పరంపరాస్సముల్లసంతుతరాం॥ ఆసేతుహి
మాచలవ్యసిద్ధాత్రీ భవచ్ఛ్రీమచ్ఛంకరభగవత్పాద పూజ్యపీఠవిషయిణీ భక్తిరనవధికశ్రేయోనిదానమితిసార్వజనీ
న మేతత్సాంప్రతం॥

శ్రీస్వామియు ప్రయాగకాశీగయా జగన్నాథ మొదలాద మహాక్షేత్రయాత్రిగళన్ను [illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ

ಪೆರುಂಕರ್ಲ

ಶ್ರೀಸ್ಥೇರಾಜವರ್ತಮಾನಪರಾಕ್ರಮ—

ಬಹುಧಾನ್ಯಶಾಲಿಯಾಗಿ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣವಾದ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗಳಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿದ ಪೆರುಂಕರ್ಲ
ಶ್ರೀಮನು ತಮ್ಮ "ಬ್ಲೈರ್ಕಚದಪರ್ಗದ ಅಮೀಲಾಲ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿ
ಇಳಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಕೆಲಸ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ
ಕೆಲವು ತಿಂಗಳು ಕಳೆದುಹೋಗಿದ್ದವು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು
ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಜನ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಯಾರೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ
ಕೆಲವು ಜನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗನೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಿಕ್ಕಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ
ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು.
ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ
ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಪೆರುಂಕರ್ಲ
ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪ್ಪನವರು

Secretary

Compd

Mysore)

To

Col. J P Grant

Superintt of Inam

Settlement in Mysore

No: 2721/Sa 1 Sanad (in orgl).
Dd 28 Jany 1905.

Sir,

I forward herewith* Sanad dd 7th August 1818 granted by H.H the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraj Wodiyar Bahadur III directing the disbursement of 400 Pagodas per annum from the late Bhairavanadurga Taluk to the Sri Sivaganga Matt in the Nelamangala Taluk, I am directed to state that the present Swamiji of the Matt now

* In original the obliging word

for the renewal of the grant.
~~the amount on behalf~~
~~of the Nath~~ I am
therefore to request the
favour of your furnishing
information as to what
became of the Cash Grant
of 400 Pagodas in question,
whether it was brought
up during the Inam Settlement for Enquiry
and if so, how it was then
adjudged. The records
of the Inam enquiry, if any,
at the time may be forwarded
to this Office together with
Your opinion in the matter
of Reviving the Cash Grant
under adverteence.

I have &c

27/1/05
Lee

by

Re

No. 93
Subs 5

See note subjoined
dated 2-2-05

Rupees
Rs
22/2/05



From

Inam Superintendent's Office
Dated, Bangalore 21st February 1905

To

The Superintendent of,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 3,121 dated 28th January 1905 requesting the cash grant of 400 Rupees per annum from the late Bhimarao Anoji Inlook to the Sringeri Matt in the Nelamangala Taluk, I have the honor to state that there is nothing in the Inam office records beyond the fact that the claim was made but left unsettled. Further enquiry will be on

if directed.

2. The original Samnud received with the letter under reply and papers as per accompanying Extract are herewith sent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

Supdt of Inland Schools:-

List of papers sent to Government in the Muzrai Department as an enclosure to letter No. 93 dated 21st February 1705 in connection with the claim of Pirgodas 400 to the Sivaganga Matt in the Nelamangala Taluk from the late Bhairavanadroog Taluk.

---

1. Docket No. 41 dated 30th March 1876 from the Commissioner Nandidroog Division with the Inam Office Memo thereon.

2. Docket No. 70 dated 30th May 1876 from the Inam Superintendent, to the Commissioner Nandidroog Division.

3. Order No. 93 dated 17th May 1876 to the Amildar of Nelamangala and its 4 enclosures (including the reply from the Amildar forwarding the list of Matt Inams furnished by the Ag

(Off as per order)
Mungeli

No 3393 Enq 14.
& other P.P.
Dy 11. 3. 05

To,
The Superintendent of
Inams Settlements

Sir,

I am directed to ✱
acknowledge receipt of your
letter no 93/3147 dy 21st Feb. 1905
stating that there is nothing
in the Inam Office Records
beyond the fact that the
claim of the Sri Sivaganga
Mutt for the grant of 1000
Varahas per annum was made
but left unsettled, and
to request that you will
be so good as to report how
& why the matter was left
"unsettled" at the Inam Settlement.

I am further to request
the favour of your
making all

4. and communicate the result of this Office together with all papers relating to the matter.

2. The enclosures to your letter under reply are returned herewith.

Secretary

Please keep the original in this Office.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient servant

Lt. - 9/2/05

Dy. Insp. Gen.

by

7/3/05

No 62 — Inam Superintendent's Office
Encl: Records

Bangalore D 30th Oct 1905
31st " "

Rupees
Rs
31/10/05—

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Miscellaneous Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 3393 dated 11th March 1905, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. In 1876-1877, the agent of the Sivaganga Mutt, on a requisition from this office, submitted a list of all Inams granted to the Mutt, in which a Varushasanam of 400 Pagodas is mentioned as having been granted by His Highness the late Maharajah Krishnaraj Wodeyar Bahadur in Bahudhanya (1818). This grant was left unsettled at the

Inam

Inam Settlement with the remark
"to be settled".

8. The present pagait of the Mott petitioned the Murzban Secretary in 1905 for the continuance of the Cash grant. On a requisition from him, all the available records in this Office were sent with this Office letter No 93, dated 21st February 1905. These were returned with the Murzban Secretary's letter, under reference, calling for further information as to how and why the matter was left unsettled and to make all the needful enquires and report the result. The Amildar of the Magadi Taluk, in forwarding a Yadi received from the pagait of the Mott, states in his report No 161, dated 15th August 1905, that the records of the Taluk are not yet completely sorted that nothing can be found the Cash grant from the

Taluk

Taluk records, are available or from the records of the Math. In the grant of the Math to the ancestor of Nagadi it is stated that beyond the original Kanom granted by His Highness the late Maharajah, no further records are available.

4. It cannot now be said why and how the Cash grant was left unsettled, at the Inam Settlement.

5. His Holiness the Swami has now sent up an authenticated copy of the Nagad Lowazama account of the late Bhyravandang Taluk to the effect that the sum of 400 Pagodas was paid in Balumdhanya (1818-19). Transactions for any other years are not forthcoming. If a settlement is directed and deemed possible, the Kanom returned to the Malabar Secretariat

with

with this Office letter No. 93 dated 21st February 1905 may kindly be returned.

6. The payment, under question, was evidently not being made, at the time of the Inam settlement, and for many years previous. There is no evidence of the allowance having been at any time actually made except in the year for which the patti is hereto attached, nor, is there any evidence of it having been authoritatively resumed.

7. The correspondence (Vernacular) ending with the Mayadi Taluk Amildar's report No. 351 dated 28th October 1905 with the remark that all the records prior to 1835 were sent to the Secretariat, and stating that he cannot find any reference to the grant in subsequent years, is enclosed for favour of information

and

and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

J. Jones

Superintendent of Indian

Settlements in Mysore

No.3175/25-1-00

ಕಲಂ ೧೪೪ ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಪಿ.ಸಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಕಾದೇಶ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ :- ಈ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೧೭೫/೨೫-೧-೦೦

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ ೨೮ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಿರುವ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಕಾದೇಶ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಬೈಲಿಫ್ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರ

ಕೊಂಚ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದ (೪೦೦) ಕಡಲೆ ಬೆಳೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಹಳೆಯ ಕಡಲೆ ಬೀಜವನ್ನು ಬಳಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ 1818-19ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಮಳೆಯ ಕೊರತೆಯಿಂದ ಬೆಳೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ, ಮಳೆಯ ಕೊರತೆಯಿಂದ

ಬೆಳೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮಳೆಯ ಕೊರತೆಯಿಂದ ಬೆಳೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]



27/2/1906

He

2/3/06



శ్రీమత్పరమహంసపరివ్రాజకాచార్యవర్య షడ్వాక్యప్రమాణపారావారపారీణ యమనియమాసన ప్రాణాయామ ప్రత్యాహార ధ్యానధారణసమాధ్యష్టాంగ యోగానుష్ఠాననిష్ఠాగరిష్ఠ తపశ్చక్రవర్త్యనాద్య విచ్ఛిన్న గురుపరంపరాప్రాప్త షడ్దర్శనస్థాపనాచార్య వ్యాఖ్యానసింహాసనాధీశ్వర సకల వేదార్థ ప్రకాశక సాంఖ్యత్రయీ ప్రతిపాలక సకలనిగమాగమసారహృదయ వైదికమార్గ ప్రవర్తక సర్వతంత్ర స్వతంత్రాది రాజధానీ శ్రీమద్విద్యానగర మహారాజధానీ కర్ణాటక సింహాసన ప్రతిష్ఠాపనాచార్య శ్రీమద్రాజాధి రాజగురుభూమండలాచార్య తుంగభద్రాతీరవాస ఋష్యశృంగపురవరాధీశ్వర శ్రీశృంగేరీశ్రీమద్విద్యాశంకరపాదసచ్ఛాశాధక శ్రీసచ్చిదానందభారతీస్వామినాం కరకమల సంజాత శ్రీశృంగేరీశ్రీశివగంగా శ్రీసుబ్రహ్మణ్యాభినవసచ్చిదానందభారతీస్వామిభిః—

ఆస్మదత్యంత ప్రియముఖ్యశిష్యః రా ద వేంకటరామశర్మ చిరంజీవి

రా ల లు లు లం మా సిక్రొ టి రి కొల్లు నంతస్థాని రాళ్లు

వర విషయే శ్రీ నారాయణస్మరణ పూర్వకవిరచితాశీఃపరంపరాస్సముల్లసంతుతరాం ॥ ఆనలుహిమాచల ప్రసిద్ధాత్ర భవచ్ఛ్రీమచ్ఛంకరభగవత్పాదపూజ్య పీఠపయణీ భక్తరంజనధిక శ్రేయోభివానమితి సాభ్యర్థనమేతత్సాంప్రతం ॥ శ్రీ మా ర్తి చిరం. లలాం రు భ్రాళ్లక్ష్మి వంశ్రీ

చిరంజీవి చిరం మా డి రోగ్యా నా శీలు రూత్తో లల్లుండ ప్రబు

ండం చిళ్ళగు. ధా ర్మిష్ఠాత్రాం త్వరిత చిరం మా డబేకిం దు

నంశ్రీ రుత్తోవే-శ్రీశివగంగాశ్రీమఠశ్రీ మ్మేనుంగో

న రశా ర దిం చ. మం గళలా ల్యా నల్లం సల్లుత్తరిద్ద వ



From

The Secretary to
Government, Mysore
Department

To

The Superintendent
of Census Settlements
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to
your letters nos 93 &
94 dated respectively the
6th & 21st February
and 31st October 1905,
addressed to the Census
Commissioner for India
on the subject of the
grant of some allowance to
the enumerators employed
in the Census operations
of 1901, I am directed

11768
Such long drawn
correspondence & explanations
of opinions &
their indications
remarks are to
be discouraged.
Simply say it
is left where settled
& the Govt. has
nothing
more to add
in the
matter.

Draft accepted
12/3/06

10.3.06

Draft submitted 12/3/06 by Asst

to observe that the

genuineness of the Sanad granted in

~~of~~ 1818 appears to be beyond question
~~has been proved~~
on the evidence supplied
by the Nagpur Durbar

Account of the late

Bhyrumandhunga Taluk

which shows that the

allowance in question

was actually ~~made~~ paid

in the 4th year Bahmdhunga

(1818-19). It also

~~appears~~ ~~stated~~ that, at

the time of the 2nd

Settlement in 1876-77,

the Agent of the mutt

submitted a list of

all Inams granted

to the mutt, in which

the Varushasana ~~in~~ under

~~Dispute~~ Question was mentioned

as having been granted)

by His Highness the

late Gwalior Maharaja Krishna

-raja Wodeyar Bahadur

III. As the grant-

is reported to have

however,

been kept unsettled at

the Inam settlement

with the remark "to

be settled", and as

there is no evidence

of the grant having

been authoritatively

resumed, the Govt-

are of opinion that a

"settlement" of the

grant in accordance with the rules

of the Inam Deptt,

~~proceedings~~ is

very necessary. I am

accordingly to ~~for~~

Returned ~~Forwarded~~ herewith
the original Summons
received with your
letter No 43 dated
21st February 1905,
and to request that
you will be so good
as to make all the necessary
enquiries in the matter
of the ground in question,
and arrive at a final
settlement of the claim,
the result of such
settlement being
communicated to
this Office for the final
orders of Government.

I have &c

[signature]
Secretary.

ks
6/3/06

(Dft. as per Devan's orders)

Murgai. 8/1

No. 3974 d/17.3.06.
Enc: 1 original Samma
1 case file

To,

The Superintendent
of Town Settlements.

Sir,

Adverting to your letters nos 93 and 62 dated respectively the 21st Feb: and 31st Oct: 1905, regarding the Varushasana allowance of 400 Pagodas claimed by the Sri Sivaganga Matt, I am directed to observe that the claim as set forth to be settled, and to request the favour

of your Kindly Letting
it in accordance with
Rules, and ~~submit~~ report- me result to this office.
~~for final orders of Government~~

2. The original Lawnad
or under which the matt
claims in allowance in
question and the enclosures
to your letter of the 30th
ult. last, are herewith
forwarded.

Copy of Lawnad
also be kept here
15/3

Copies & Kept.
CM 14/3.

I have &c

(Dewan's orders) 15/3/06

Secy: Mines: DG

W 15/3/06 KS 14/3/06

Intimate to the
Commissioner -

No. 397 dt 17.3.07

శ్రీ మల్కాపురము సంస్థానవరిష్కారిత
భూమ్యాధికారములకు సంబంధించిన
పాంశిక తీర్పుపై శివగంగాశ్రీని
ప్రుంద్రీకరించిన వసనిచిదానం
చదువుకొని స్వాధీనపరచుకొనుట—

మల్కాపురం సంస్థానవర్షీకుండు మరణించిన
నీ కోర్టులో ఎయిల్‌లనుండి స్వాధీనం
చేయుటకు విచ్ఛేదనా—

శ్రీమతి సంగతి కోర్టులోనే వేసిన
వాటిని కోర్టు లిద్దరికిని సమానంగా
పంచుటకు ఆదేశించినది. అయితే
ఇరువురు వ్యక్తులకు 400 రూపాయల
ఇవ్వవలసి ఉండగా ఇద్దరికీ ఉండకపోవడం
కోర్టు వారు వద్దనే చాంతిలాగా ఉండుటకు
గుబిలకొండ కోర్టులో 1905 సంవత్సరం
22 నవంబరు 28 దినం కోర్టు శ్రీమతి యిచ్చిన
తీర్పు ప్రకారం ఇరువురు వ్యక్తులకు
సమానంగా ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా

G.R.S. 29A]

1906

Refers
Rs 2901
10/12/06

C
13/12/06

From

The Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Dated 10th December 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. R.5864

No. R.M.

Enclosure No. 1 & 1 file

In forwarding herewith for reference and kind return the papers noted in the margin relating to the cash grant of 400 pagodas per annum to Sri Sivaganga Matt, has the honor to request that he will be so good as to state whether any order has been passed in the Muzrai Department - directing the discontinuance of the grant or reducing it and to forward for information any references avail-able on the subject.

1. Inam Superintendent's docket No.138 dated 1st June 1906 together with its one enclosure.
2. -do- docket No.1 dated the 6th July 1906.
3. -do- docket No.66 dated the 27th November 1906 together with its enclosures.

By Order,

B. Srinivasaiengar

For Secretary

T.S.R.

20

Mur 14

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzra Department.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Genl & Rev: Depts

Dated 2-11-12

Despatched

Received

General No. 3224.

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 4 & 1 file

Sir,

With reference to your No. R.5864 Rev. dated 6th December 1906, I am directed to state that no references are forthcoming in this office records to show that any orders were passed in this Deptt directing the discontinuance or the reduction of the Cash grant of 400 pagodas payable to the Swāmiya Mutt.

2. The enclosures to

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

under reply are herewith returned

Thanks &c,

28/12/06

Secretary,
Insurance

18/12/06

Inam Superintendents' Offices,
Bangalore dated 5th July 1907

37

No 5
Dm 3

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Murzai Depart=
= ment.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.
3974 dated 17th March 1906, requesting me
to settle the cash grant of 400 Pagodas —
claimed by the Sri Sivaganga Matt in
the Nelamangala Taluk, I have the honor
to state that a settlement has been made
and a copy of the Inam Register is herewith
submitted for information.

2. The enclosures* of the letter, under re-
- ference, are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Superintendent of Inam Settle=
ments in Mysore

* Sanad
. Copy of the
Inam Sivaganga
account.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Muzrai Register Sheet No 251(A)

Taluk Magadi

Hobli Do

Village Do

Copy of the Register of Ready Money Grants

General No.	Value of the Inam.	Description of Inams. If for service, it is to be stated whether it is performed. If for buildings, tanks, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up.	Tenure. Hereditary, unconditional, for life only, or for two or more lives.
1	2	3	4
80	Annual allowance of 400 Pagodas from the late Byravandrog now Magadi Taluk Treasury = Rs-as-P 1163-10-2	Devadaya for Devata Séve & Brahmana Santarpane in Sivagang matt situated in the Nelamangala Taluk.	So be continued so long as the object of the grant is fulfilled

in the Village of Magadi

When, and by whom, and to whom granted	Name of the party registered and Standard Munzil or other recognized accounts.
5	6
Sannad dated 7th August 1818 from His Highness the late Maha = = raja Sri Krishnaraj Wodeyar Bahadur to the amil of Bhy- - ravandroog Taluk to continue the grant to the Matt.	Muzrai nagad Varayama account of Balmudranama october (1818) Sri Abhinava Sachidananda Matt of Sivaganga - wor Pagodas

Taluk of Magadi in the District

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL OF THE PRESENT RECIPIENT

Name, place of residence and age.	Caste or tribe. Height and complexion.	Indelible marks tending to the identification of the Inamdar.
7	8	9

Nelamangala Taluk

Sivaganga Matt

(High Priest for the time being)

of Bangalore of the Nandidroog Division

Relationship to the grantee or the registered holder	Particulars of the heirs of the party in col 7	PARTICULARS OF THE COMMUTED LAND			
		Village and Taluk	Description and No	Extent	Assessment
10	11	12	13	14	15
~	~	~	~	~	~

Recommendation of the Assistant	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
16	17

An annual cash grant of 400 Pagodas was ordered to be paid to the Sri Sivaganga Matt, Nelamangal Taluk, by His Highness the late Maharajah of Mysore in 1818. This grant was paid to the Matt in October 1818 as can be seen by the account quoted in col 6 but there is no authority for subsequent payments. Neither is there any record for the grant having been authoritatively resumed. In 1876, on a requisition from the Inam Commissioner, the then agent of the Matt laid claim to the grant; but it was remarked "to be settled" by Lt Col Cole in Nov^r 1876. The case was not taken up till 1905, when the present High Priest laid claim to it and the Muzrai Secretary has sent up the papers for settlement under the Rules. The delay in claiming is explained by the disorder in which the Matt papers were prior to the time of the present Holiness and the fact of the Matt management having been under the Muzrai Department.

Respectfully submitted for orders and confirmation under Rule IV A. Rules for the settlement of Ready Money grants.

(Init^d) T Desikachar.

18-6-1907.

Inam Supt^s Office,
Bangalore dated 5th July 1907

The validity of this allowance of 400 Pagodas has not been established. It does not come under either Rule I or Rule II of Rules for the Settlement of Ready money Grants. There is proof of it having been paid in one year only viz 1818; it is not found registered in any Jasdik Putte and it cannot be said to have been enjoyed by the Matt and exclusively charged to the Sayer and abkarey collections and to be found in the Auditor's list. There is no proof of the allowance having been authoritatively resumed but equally there is no proof that it was being enjoyed. Validity not admitted

(Signed) J.P Grant
Inam Supt^t.
21/6/1907.

"Copy"

T Ramarao
Superintendent of Inams
Setts in Mysore

2 Dft
Y 113

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Memo dated
5th January from the Superintendent
of Prisons to Monteith in
Jamaica advising that a
settlement has been made
and giving a copy
of the prison regulations relating thereto.

Colonial Secretary's No. 1369-70 of 4.9.07

The Governor of the
Gaol Superintendent
upon that matter under
the Convict Act for
August 1918 granted to
H. H. the late Maharajah
of Kapurthala privileges
included in the new
Regulations in the
Prisons Ordinance as
has originally been

of Inam Settlements who

in reply that there was nothing in the

Inam Office Records beyond

the fact that the claim was

made at the Inam Settlement

in 1874 but left unsettled.

and that further enquiry

would be made if so directed.

Thereupon He was requested to

Settle the cash grant in question in accordance with the Rules - has settled the grant accordingly & in the Copy of Col: Grant ~~Ken Ledger Extract~~ this Main Regr: received with ~~the~~ 1 letter of the 5th July 1907, that Officer observes that ~~there is no proof~~ the validity of the allce of 400 Pagodas has not been established, that it does not come under either Rule I or Rule II of the Rules for the Settlement of Rent free Grants, that there is proof of its ~~of the allowance of the Pagodas~~

having been paid ~~from the~~ in one year only, viz 1818 and that it was not entered in any book in the Register Office as payable, but there is proof that the allowance was been continued since that year, but that subsequently there is no proof that it was been ~~enjoyed~~ decided enjoyed. He ~~adds~~, that the Validity of the Grant is not admitted. This

Settlement

Schedule to Warrant

for issue of Document

will be required

to the Surrender for trial

26/8/70

Secretary.

Dt 18/8/70

To,

The Superintendent of Enquiry-

& Documents in Mysore.

& Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

No. 1371

Encl 2

Copy to the Superintendent
under cover of a Vijnapana
Patrika, retg the Original
Warrant in a Registered
Cover

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 4th September 1907.

READ—

Sreemukha Registered No. 2773, dated 22nd January 1905, from the Swami of the Sri Sivaganga Matt in the Nelamangala Taluk, requesting the revival of the lapsed cash allowance of 400 varahas once enjoyed by the Matt

Letter No. 3974, dated 17th March 1906, from this office to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, requesting him to settle the above claim in accordance with rules and report the result to this office.

Letter No. 5, dated 5th July 1907, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, reporting that a settlement has been made, and submitting a copy of the Inam Register relating thereto

---

No. 1369-70—MUZ. F. 36 OF 1893, DATED BANGALORE, 4TH SEPTEMBER 1907.

ORDER THEREON.—The Swami of the Sri Sivaganga Matt represented that under the Sannad dated 7th August 1818, granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III, the Matt was originally in the enjoyment of a cash allowance of 400 varahas per annum for services in the institution and for Santarpane to Brahmins, that the allowance had ceased, and prayed for its revival. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements who was requested to report whether the grant in question was brought up for enquiry at the Settlement and if so, how it was then adjudged, stated in reply that there was nothing in the Inam Office records beyond the fact that the claim was made at the Inam Settlement in 1876 but left unsettled, and that further enquiry would be made if so directed. He was thereupon requested to settle the cash grant in question in accordance with the rules. Col. Grant has settled the grant accordingly; and in the copy of the Inam Register received with his letter of the 5th July 1907, that officer observes that the validity of the allowance of 400 pagodas has not been established, that it does not come under either Rule I or Rule II of the Rules for the settlement of ready money grants, that there is proof of its having been paid in one year only, *viz*, 1818, and that it was not registered in any Tasdik Patti, nor enjoyed by the Matt and entered in the auditor's list He says that there is no proof of the allowance having been authoritatively resumed, but that equally there is no proof that it was being enjoyed. He has decided that the validity of the grant is not admitted This settlement is approved. The result of the settlement will be communicated to the Swami of the Matt.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, District.

Exd.—C V

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 4th September 1907

No. 1369-70
Muz. F. 36 of 1893

Approving of the settlement effected by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements *in re* the revival of a cash allowance of 400 varahas, alleged to have been once enjoyed by the Sri Sivaganga Matt at Sivaganga in the Nelamangala Taluk.

GBP—16 11·07—200

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 4th September 1907.

READ—

Sreemukha Registered No. 2773, dated 22nd January 1905, from the Swami of the Sri Sivaganga Matt in the Nelamangala Taluk, requesting the revival of the lapsed cash allowance of 400 varahas once enjoyed by the Matt.

Letter No. 3974, dated 17th March 1906, from this office to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, requesting him to settle the above claim in accordance with rules and report the result to this office.

Letter No. 5, dated 5th July 1907, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, reporting that a settlement has been made, and submitting a copy of the Inam Register relating thereto.

No. 1369-70—MUZ. F. 36 OF 1893, DATED BANGALORE, 4TH SEPTEMBER 1907.

ORDER THEREON.—The Swami of the Sri Sivaganga Matt represented that under the Sannad dated 7th August 1818, granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III, the Matt was originally in the enjoyment of a cash allowance of 400 varahas per annum for services in the institution and for Santarpane to Brahmins, that the allowance had ceased, and prayed for its revival. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements who was requested to report whether the grant in question was brought up for enquiry at the Settlement and if so, how it was then adjudged, stated in reply that there was nothing in the Inam Office records beyond the fact that the claim was made at the Inam Settlement in 1876 but left unsettled, and that further enquiry would be made if so directed. He was thereupon requested to settle the cash grant in question in accordance with the rules. Col. Grant has settled the grant accordingly; and in the copy of the Inam Register received with his letter of the 5th July 1907, that officer observes that the validity of the allowance of 400 pagodas has not been established, that it does not come under either Rule I or Rule II of the Rules for the settlement of ready money grants, that there is proof of its having been paid in one year only, *viz.*, 1818, and that it was not registered in any Tasdik Patti, nor enjoyed by the Matt and entered in the auditor's list. He says that there is no proof of the allowance having been authoritatively resumed, but that equally there is no proof that it was being enjoyed. He has decided that the validity of the grant is not admitted This settlement is approved. The result of the settlement will be communicated to the Swami of the Matt.

Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, District.

Exd —C. V.

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 4th September 1907

No. 1369-70
Muz. F. 36 of 1893

Approving of the settlement effected by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements *in re* the revival of a cash allowance of 400 varahas, alleged to have been once enjoyed by the Sri Sivaganga Matt at Sivaganga in the Nelamangala Taluk.

GBP—16 11-07—200

ಲು ಅಕ್ಕಿಯಿಂಬುದ್ಯ ತಿಂದು ಚಾಂತಿಗಳ್ಳ ಅಥವಾ
ಗ್ರಹಿಲಿಯಂದವಕ್ಕಿವ್ಯ ಹೀಂಲ್ಲಲು ತಿಂಕ್ಕಲು-
ಡಲಿಂಗಿದ ದಲಿಗಿನ್ನಿವ್ಯ ಮಲಿಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಗಿಳ್ಳಲು ಲಿಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ವಲ್ಲ ಮಿಶ್ರಿಲಂತಕ್ಕೆ
ಗಿ ಮಿಂಗಿ ರಲಿಂಗಿ ಮಂ ನೆ 1835 ನೆ ಲಲಗಿವ
ಗಿಳಿನ್ನಲು ಕ್ಕೆ ಲಂಡ್ಲಿ ಗ್ಯಗಿಲ್ಲು. ಲಂಲಲಿದ
ವ್ಯ ಲಂಗಿಲಂಗಿ ಕ್ಕಿ ಗಿಂಗಿ ಕೆಂಗಿಲಿ ಕೆಂಗಿ ಕಲಲು
ಗಿ ರಗಿಬ ಗ್ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲಗಿವ ಮನವಗಿ 22 ಕ್ಕೆಂಡ
24/2/18 ಕ್ಕೆಂಡು ಕ್ಕೆ ಲಲಿ ವ್ಯಲಿಲಲಲಲಲಲಲಿ ಮನ್ನಗವ
ವ್ಯ ಲಂಲಂಡ ಲಿಗಿಲ್ಲಿವ್ಯ ಕ್ಕಿಂಗಿ ಮಿಲಿನ್ನೆ ಲಂಲಿಲಿವ್ಯ
ಗಿ ಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲಿಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ
ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ ಲಂಗಿ